## Additional comments on intensification:

#### **Chris Mitchell**

There are places where intensification will be a socially good option, and other places where it isn't- much of the Kapiti coastal plain is going to be subject to flooding in the medium term and building high and/or densely will not be viable because of ground conditions and infrastructure. Thus further greenfield developments are unavoidable if we have to accommodate population growth.

#### Janet Holborow

Intensification is coming whether we like it or not. As we develop and grow, we need to ensure there are open spaces and quality facilities to support the growing population. It's not just about where people live, it's about how they live. With more grass open space being covered in housing, we need to plant as many trees as we can. I'd like to see us have a goal of planting a tree for every person in Kāpiti.

## **Lawrence Kirby**

Affordable and accessible housing is a significant issue for Kāpiti. Solving this issue requires creative thinking and solutions balancing the challenges of climate change, environmental protection and enhancement with our diverse community's need. I think we need to be careful that we don't lock ourselves into 'one way is the only way' thinking.

#### Liz Koh

We need to bear in mind that Kapiti's population will grow strongly and intensification may not be sufficient to deal with the demand for housing.

#### Maria McMillan

Intensification is our best choice environmentally. However there are some, as yet, undeveloped areas that are close to transport hubs and services that could be used for housing. I'm open to discussion on this matter.

### **Martin Halliday**

I am concerned around intensification in Town and Local centres that are close to the coast re climate and transport linkage issue. Also with regards to eroding character which makes our district and townships special. This intensification mentality seems to have been put in place for Town Centre/metropolitan areas tied into Tier one Cities. Kapiti is being caught up in this as part of the Wellington region, but I do not think it should be being applied to our local coastal towns/centres or our character and Cultural areas/precincts.

### **Michelle Lewis**

I am concerned by the new intensification ideas coming out and I say that having trained in Europe apply the very methods that are now being suggested here. My issue is that growth is not sustainable. We actually need to consider and plan for no growth and what and how an economy would look like under that scenario.

We may need more homes because of how people chose to live not because of more people. So it's really adapting.

The commuter journey has changed from five days a week into the city to two or three. Who wants to travel for an hour plus to work? This takes time away from our day when we could be in our community building relationships and networks and inputting locally. We need to preserve peoples time, slow down to add value and meaningful experiences to be added to their day.

We need more variety of housing including tiny homes, small one - two bed room houses in beautiful surroundings for all, think something like Parkwood, Waikanae for any age group...

I could go on....meet me for a coffee to chat more...I'm on my hobby horse.

# **Sophie Handford**

The concept of 15 minute neighbourhoods weave together the opportunities that come with intensification and a core focus on our active and public transport connections.

I'd like to see us fast track our current housing mahi, and get houses built for those who need them most in partnership with a Community Land trust and iwi. This can be unlocked through enabling greater intensification around transport hubs, town centres, papakainga housing and local centres.

My continued focus would be on working alongside mana whenua to enable papakainga, intergenerational living and provision of tiny home developments.